# Translation

# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

# **PCT**

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference Hausma98.001	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)		
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)	
PCT/DE98/03361	10 November 1998 (10.11.98)	24 December 1997 (24.12.97)	
nternational Patent Classification (IPC) or 1 B29B 15/12	national classification and IPC		
Applicant	HAUSMANN, Joachim		
This international preliminary ex Authority and is transmitted to the	amination report has been prepared by this applicant according to Article 36.	International Preliminary Examining	
2. This REPORT consists of a total o	sheets, including this cover	sheet.	
This report is also accomp been amended and are the (see Rule 70.16 and Section	anied by ANNEXES. i.e., sheets of the descript basis for this report and/or sheets containing in 607 of the Administrative Instructions under total of8 sheets.	otion, claims and/or drawings which have rectifications made before this Authority	
3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:			
Basis of the repo	rt		
II Priority			
III Non-establishme	nt of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive	e step and industrial applicability	
IV Lack of unity of	invention		
V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applied citations and explanations supporting such statement			
VI Certain docume	nts cited		
VII Certain defects	n the international application		
VIII Certain observations on the international application			
Date of submission of the demand	Date of completion		
06 July 1999 (06.0	77.99)	March 2000 (31.03.2000)	
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/E	P Authorized officer		
Facsimile No.	Telephone No.		

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/DE98/03361

I. Basis of th	ne report				
					to the receiving Office in response to an invitation report since they do not contain amendments.):
	the international	application as ori	ginally filed.		
$\boxtimes$	the description.	pages1.	3,5-16	as originally filed,	
		pages		filed with the demand,	
		pages2	2,2a,4	_, filed with the letter of	16 December 1999 (16.12.1999)
		pages		_, filed with the letter of	·
$\bowtie$	the claims,	Nos.		_ , as originally filed,	
		Nos.		, as amended under Arti	cie 19.
		Nos.		, filed with the demand,	
		Nos.	1-42	, filed with the letter of	16 December 1999 (16.12.1999)
$\boxtimes$	the drawings.	sheets/fig	1/8-8/8	. as originally filed,	
		sheets/fig		, filed with the demand,	
		sheets/fig		, filed with the letter of	
		sheets/fig		, filed with the letter of	
2. The amend	ments have resulte	ed in the cancellation	on of:		
	the description.	pages			
	the claims.	Nos			
		sheets/fig			
<u> </u>	ine drawings.	J			
				endments had not been ma Supplemental Box (Rule	de, since they have been considered 70.2(c)).
4. Additional	observations, if ne	cessary:			
					1 /

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

ternational application No.

PCT/DE 98/03361

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Statement			
Novelty (N)	Claims	2 - 19, 21 - 42	YES
	Claims	1, 20	No
Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES
	Claims	2 - 19, 21 - 42	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1 - 42	YES
	Claims		NO

2. Citations and explanations

Claim 1

D1 (JP-A-59 184 615) (cf. abstract) discloses a method of impregnating fibrous material, wherein an impregnating agent is introduced into the spaces in the fibrous material and surrounds the individual fibres, the impregnating agent being fed via openings in a homogeneous, porous feed body over which the fibrous material is moved away in sliding manner.

Since D1 discloses that the matrix material is guided into the fibres through the slit in the tube and the porous material simultaneously fully fills this slit, the porous material is regarded as a feed body. Therefore contact is automatically brought about between the sintered body and the fibrous material, since the fibre bundle is guided through the sintered body.

Therefore the subject matter of Claim 1 is not novel and this claim itself does not meet the requirements of PCT Article 33(2).

PCT/DE 98/03361

#### Claims 2 to 19

These dependent claims disclose features which appear to be applicable at the discretion of a person skilled in the art, such as, for example:

- the stripping of the impregnating agent as per Claim 5;
- the duromer impregnating material according to the current Claim 6; or
- the thermoplastic impregnating material according to the current Claim 7 (cf. page 2, lines 26 and 27 of D2 (WO 95/25000)).

#### Claim 20

D1 also discloses (cf. abstract) a device for impregnating fibrous material, the device comprising a feed body with openings for feeding an impregnating agent, a conveying device for moving the fibrous material through the device and past the feed body, and arrangements for feeding impregnating agent to the homogeneous, porous feed body which is permeable to the impregnating agent and of which the surface comes into contact with the fibrous material (cf. the comments on Claim 1).

Therefore the subject matter of Claim 20 is not novel and this claim itself does not meet the requirements of PCT Article 33(2).

#### Claims 21 to 42

These claims disclose design features which appear to be at the discretion of a person skilled in the art, such as the size of the pore openings in the porous body according to Claim 22 of the application or its length according to

1			
	ternational	application No.	
	PCT/DE	98/03361	

the c	urrent Claim	23.		
			. *	

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

Intertional application No.
PCT/DE 98/03361

v	П	Certain	defects	in the	international	application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

The features in the claims should be provided with reference signs placed between parentheses (PCT Rule 6.2(b)). This applies in particular to the independent claims, namely their preambles and their characterizing parts.